**International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research**
**www.pharmaceuticaljournal.in**
**Online ISSN: 2664-7605, Print ISSN: 2664-7591**
**Received: 28-10-2021, Accepted: 14-11-2021, Published: 29-11-2021**
**Volume 3, Issue 2, 2021, Page No. 22-28**

# Monolithic matrix systems: A boon in extended-release oral drug delivery technologies

**Mayuresh Shankar Kothmire*, Anshu Sharma, Jadhav Sujit Appasaheb**
Bhupal Noble's College of Pharmacy, Bhupal Noble's University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.33545/26647591.2021.v3.i2a.27

**Abstract**
Oral medication conveyance is the biggest and the most seasoned portion of the all out drug conveyance market. It is the quickest developing and most favored course for drug organization. Utilization of hydrophilic grids for oral expanded arrival of medications is a typical practice in the drug business. This section presents distinctive polymer decisions for creation of solid hydrophilic networks and examines plan what's more, producing factors influencing the plan and execution of the expanded delivery item by utilizing chosen down to earth models.

**Keywords:** monolithic matrix, matrix system, extended drug delivery system

## Introduction

Oral organization of medications has been the most well-known and favored course for conveyance of most remedial specialists. It stays the favored course of organization explored in the revelation and improvement of new medication competitors and definitions. The fame of the oral course is ascribed to patient acknowledgment, simplicity of organization, exact dosing, savvy fabricating strategies, and for the most part improved timeframe of realistic usability of the item. For some medications and helpful signs, ordinary different dosing of prompt delivery definitions gives palatable clinical execution a suitable equilibrium of adequacy and wellbeing. The reasoning for advancement of an all-encompassing delivery definition of a medication is to upgrade its remedial advantages, limiting its results while improving the administration of the infected condition. In Table records a portion of the benefits offered by broadened discharge measurements structures [1-3]. Other than its clinical benefits, an imaginative expanded delivery definition gives a chance to a drug organization to deal with its item life-cycle. The lack of new synthetic substances is driving numerous drug organizations to reformulate a current regular definition to an broadened discharge item as a procedure of life-cycle the board and holding market share. Besides, the sanctioning of the Hatch-Waxman Act-1984 (Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act) has prompted an unexpected flood of broadened discharge definitions being brought into the market by nonexclusive makers.

Indeed, the most recent decade has seen the most noteworthy number of new medication applications (NDA) and condensed new medication applications (ANDAs) recorded with FDA for expanded delivery details [4]. The principal business oral broadened discharge plan was the pellet-filled case (Spansules®) which was presented in the 1950 by Smith, Kline and French [5]. Spansule containers were formed by covering a medication onto prime sugar dabs and further covering with glyceryl stearate and wax. From that point forward, various techniques have been created to get broadened arrival of a medication in the body. These fluctuate from straightforward matrices tablets or pellets to all the more innovatively refined expanded delivery matricess which have been brought into the commercial center [6, 7]. Effective commercialization of an extended-release dose structure is typically difficult and includes thought of numerous factors, for example, the physicochemical properties of the medication [nature and type of the medication, Biopharmaceutical Classification System (BCS) class, portion and solidness of the medication in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract], physiological elements (course of administration,site and method of ingestion, digestion and disposal) and assembling factors (decision of excipients, hardware and assembling techniques). This section will chiefly zero in on solid hydrophilic network matricess (tablets) as a typical system utilized in the business to accomplish expanded arrival of medications.

Different polymer decisions for creation of solid grids just as plan and assembling factors influencing the plan and execution of the all-encompassing delivery item are examined here utilizing chosen down to earth models. The innovation of broadened discharge measurements shapes, the hypothetical reason for their definition, and their clinical exhibition have been widely examined and detailed in the writing [2, 8-15]. Our point isn't to copy this exertion yet rather to zero in on the reasonable viewpoint of the detailing plan and production of hydrophilic networks and to give general rules. Such useful angle typically includes speculations for which there are incidental exemptions.

## Advantages and limitations of a drug formulated into an extended release (ER) dosage form

### Clinical advantages

Reduction in frequency of drug administration
Improved patient compliance
Reduction in drug level fluctuation in blood
Reduction in total drug usage when compared with

conventional therapy
Reduction in drug accumulation with chronic therapy
Reduction in drug toxicity (local/systemic)
Stabilization of medical condition (because of more uniform drug levels)
Improvement in bioavailability of some drugs because of spatial control
Economical to the health care providers and the patient

**Commercial/industrial advantages**
Illustration of innovative/technological leadership
Product life-cycle extension
Product differentiation
Market expansion
Patent extension

**Potential limitations**
Delay in onset of drug action
Possibility of dose dumping in the case of a poor formulation strategy
Increased potential for first pass metabolism
Greater dependence on GI residence time of dosage form
Possibility of less accurate dose adjustment in some cases
Cost per unit dose is higher when compared with conventional doses
Not all drugs are suitable for formulating into ER dosage form

**Extended-Release Oral Drug Delivery: Monolithic Hydrophilic Matrices**

A matrix tablet is the least complex and the most financially savvy technique to manufacture an expanded delivery dose structure. Most of economically accessible network details are as tablets and their assembling is like customary tablet plans comprising of granulation, mixing, pressure and covering steps. In its most straightforward structure, a regular ER lattice definition comprises of a medication, discharge retardant polymer (hydrophilic or hydrophobic or both), at least one excipients (as filler or folio), stream help (glidant) and an oil. Other practical fixings for example, buffering specialists, stabilizers, solubilizers and surfactants may likewise be included to improve or enhance the delivery and additionally security execution of the definition matrices.

**Hydrophilic matrices**

Hydrophilic matrices are the most generally utilized oral expanded delivery matricess as a result of their capacity to give wanted delivery profiles to a wide scope of medications, powerful definition, savvy production, and wide administrative acknowledgment of the polymers. List shows a hydrophilic polymers generally utilized for manufacture of networks [17, 18]. Hydrophobic materials are additionally utilized either alone (hydrophobic matrices matricess) or in formation with hydrophilic network mateices (hydrophilic-hydrophobic network matricess) and are additionally recorded in list which shows Cellulose ethers, specifically hypromellose (hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, HPMC), have been the polymers of decision for the plan of hydrophilic matrices. For representation of essential detailing standards, networks of cellulose ethers, HPMC specifically, are examined here. All things considered, the essentials for the plan and execution of the most hydrophilic matricess continue as before.

**List Polymers commonly studied for fabrication of extended release monolithic matrices**

- **Hydrophilic polymers**

Cellulosic
Methylcellulose
Hypromellose (Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, HPMC)
Hydroxypropylcellulose (HPC)
Hydroxyethylcellulose (HEC)
Sodium carboxymethylcellulose (Na-CMC)
Noncellulosic: gums/polysaccharides
Sodium alginate
Xanthan gum
Carrageenan
Ceratonia (locust bean gum)
Chitosan
Guar gum
Pectin
Cross-linked high amylose starch
Noncellulosic: others
Polyethylene oxide
Homopolymers and copolymers of acrylic acid

- **Water-insoluble and hydrophobic polymers**

Ethylcellulose
Hypromellose acetate succinate
Cellulose acetate
Cellulose acetate propionate
Methycrylic acid copolymers
Poly (vinyl acetate)

- **Fatty acids/alcohols/waxes**

Bees' wax
Carnauba wax
Candelilla wax
Paraffin waxes
Cetyl alcohol
Stearyl alcohol
Glyceryl behenate
Glyceryl monooleate, monosterate, palmitostearate
Hydrogenated vegetable oil
Hydrogenated palm oil
Hydrogenated cottonseed oil
Hydrogenated castor oil
Hydrogenated soybean oil

**Cellulose ethers in hydrophilic matrices**

Synthetically, HPMC is blended alkyl hydroxyalkyl cellulose ether containing methoxyl and hydroxypropoxyl gatherings. An overall design of cellulose ether polymers is appeared in advantaf, where the R gathering can be a solitary or a blend weight circulation of cellulose ethers make them flexible for use in ER detailing of a wide scope of medications with various solubilities and portions. Also, they are non-ionic water-dissolvable polymers, and subsequently the chance of ionic cooperation or complexation with other plan segments is incredibly decreased and their grids show pH-free medication discharge profile. Watery arrangements of HPMC are steady

over a wide pH range (pH 3-11) and are impervious to enzymatic debasement. HPMC is accessible industrially from Dow Chemical Company under the business trademark of Methocel™ [19]. Methocel is accessible in four unique sciences (A, E, F and K) contingent upon the level of hydroxypropoxyl and methoxyl bunch replacements. Methocel E (hypromellose 2910 USP) and K (hypromellose 2208, USP) sciences are most generally utilized in broadened discharge definitions and are conveyed worldwide by Colorcon, Inc. The USP characterization code depends on the replacement type with the initial two digits addressing the mean % methoxyl replacement and the last two digits addressing the mean % hydroxypropoxyl replacement [20]. The synthetic replacement detail of these cellulose ethers are summed. Water-solvent cellulose ethers are likewise reviewed dependent on thickness (in cPs) of a 2% (w/v) watery arrangement at 20°C, as demonstrated [19]. HPMC is exceptionally hydrophilic and consequently hydrates quickly when in contact with water. Then again, since the hydroxypropyl bunch is hydrophilic and methoxyl bunch is hydrophobic, the proportion of hydroxypropyl to methoxyl content effects the degree of polymer association with water. This property will thus impact water versatility in a hydrated gel layer and medication discharge [21, 22]. Methocel grades for expanded delivery details incorporate E50LV, K100LV, K4M CR, K15M CR, K100M CR, E4M CR and E10M CR. The thickness of a 2% fluid arrangement of these polymers goes from 50 to 100,000 cPs at 20°C. Comparable evaluations of HPMC are additionally accessible from different providers, for example, ShinEtsu, Japan [23] and Aqualon division of Hercules Inc., USA [24]. Other non-ionic cellulose ethers which have been concentrated in the definition of hydrophilic networks incorporate high consistency evaluations of hydroxypropylcellulose (HPC) and hydroxyethylcellulose (HEC) [24]. The ionic cellulose ether, sodium carboxymethylcellulose (Na CMC), with low or medium thickness grades has additionally been concentrated in blend with other non-ionic polymers [25]. A Na- CMC lattice doesn't completely hydrate to shape a gel when put in a media with low pH (for example pH 1.2) and it might crumble. Non-cellulosic hydrophilic polymers utilized for manufacture of frameworks incorporate water dissolvable/swellable polysaccharides (thickener and sodium alginate), polymers of acrylic corrosive (for example Carbopol®) and poly(ethylene oxide) (POLYOX™) [15, 26-29]. Polymers of acrylic corrosive are manufactured high sub-atomic weight polymers that are cross-connected with either allyl sucrose or allyl ethers of pentaerythritol [26]. Since these polymers are cross-connected, they are not water solvent however they swell on hydration furthermore, structure a gel layer. As examined before, HPMC growing is a result of the hydration of the polymer, prompting unwinding of polymer chains and ensuing snare of these polymer chains (cross-connecting) to frame a thick gel. If there should be an occurrence of acrylic corrosive polymers, surface gel development isn't a direct result of the polymer chains (as the polymers are as of now cross-connected) but since of the arrangement of the discrete microgels comprised of numerous polymer particles [26]. Poly (ethylene oxide) is likewise a non-ionic water-dissolvable gum, accessible in an assortment of sub-atomic weight grades going from 100,000 to 7,000,000 Daltons. The basic evaluations of PEO which are utilized for expanded delivery applications incorporate POLYOX WSR-205 NF, WSR-1105 NF, WSR N-12K NF, WSR N-60K NF, WSR-301 NF, WSR-303 NF and WSR Coagulant NF [27]. They are the quickest hydrating water-dissolvable polymers among the hydrophilic polymers, which makes PEO items a reasonable decision for applications where more slow starting medication discharge is required [27].

**Drug release from hydrophilic matrices**

The component of medication discharge from hydrophilic framework tablets after ingestion is complex however it depends on dispersion of the medication through, and disintegration of, the external hydrated polymer on the outside of the framework. Normally, when the framework tablet is presented to a watery arrangement or gastrointestinal liquids, the outside of the tablet is wetted and the polymer hydrates to shape a gelly-like design around the framework, which is alluded to as the "gel layer". This interaction is likewise named as the shiny to rubbery state progress of the (surface layer) polymer. This prompts unwinding and growing of the lattice which likewise adds to the instrument of drug discharge. The center of the tablet remains basically dry at this stage. In the instance of a profoundly solvent medication, this marvel may prompt an underlying burst discharge because of the presence of the medication on the outside of the framework tablet. The gel layer (rubbery state) develops with time as more water penetrates into the center of the lattice, along these lines expanding the thickness of the gel layer and giving a dissemination boundary to medicate discharge [21]. At the same time, as the external layer becomes completely hydrated, the polymer chains become totally loose and can no more keep up the trustworthiness of the gel layer, consequently prompting unraveling and disintegration of the outside of the framework. Water keeps on entering towards the center of the tablet, through the gel layer, until it has been totally dissolved. Solvent medications can be delivered by a blend of dispersion and disintegration components while disintegration is the transcendent system for insoluble medications [30]. For effective expanded arrival of medications, it is fundamental that polymer hydration also, surface gel layer arrangement are fast to forestall quick tablet crumbling furthermore, untimely medication discharge. Therefore, polymers for hydrophilic frameworks are typically provided in little molecule size, (for example, Methocel CR grades) to guarantee fast hydration and steady development of the gel layer on a superficial level of the tablet.

**Formulation of hydrophilic matrices**

Commonplace detailing of a hydrophilic grid comprises of medication, polymer and excipients.

These segments can be compacted into tablets straightforwardly or after granulation by dry, wet or hot liquefy technique relying upon the idea of the medication, excipients and the inclination for measure in a specific drug organization. The different definition and assembling contemplations in the plan of hydrophilic lattices are recorded. The advancement of hydrophilic frameworks has generally been experimental. There is no widespread formula/procedure for planning an ER network definition. One can define an ER

lattice item with various hydrophilic and additionally hydrophobic polymers utilizing different assembling standards and cycles. A metformin hydrochloride (HCl) broadened discharge tablet (Glucophage® XR, Bristol Myers Squibb) is a genuine illustration of a utilization of polymer blends to accomplish an ideal delivery profile. The detailing comprises of a double hydrophilic polymer network framework where the medication is joined with an ionic release controlling polymer (sodium carboxymethylcellulose) to shape an "inward" stage, which is then joined as discrete particles into an "outer" period of a second nonionic polymer, HPMC [40, 41]. There are numerous other broadened discharge definitions of metformin HCl supported by US FDA [42]. These details range from basic solid hydrophilic lattice frameworks of a solitary polymer to mix of hydrophilic polymers with or without water-insoluble polymers (counting enteric polymers) and hydrophobic lattices [43, 44]. Albeit these plans change in their plan and sytheses, they all accomplish comparative expanded delivery profiles when tried *in vitro* and *in vivo* (bioequivalent). In the accompanying segments, some chose principal definition boundaries and assembling contemplations for HPMC frameworks are talked about as an overall rule.

## Key formulation considerations

### Drug properties

Medication dissolvability and portion are the main variables to consider in the plan of ER networks. By and large, broadened discharge definition of outrageous medication solubilities combined with a high portion is testing. Medications with low solvency (for example < 0.01 mg/mL) may break down gradually and have moderate dispersion through the gel layer of a hydrophilic lattice. In this way, the principle system of delivery would be through disintegration of the outside of the hydrated lattice. In these cases, the control over framework disintegration to accomplish predictable broadened discharge all through the GI lot is basic. For drugs with high water solvency, the medication breaks up inside the gel layer (even with limited quantities of free water) and diffuses out into the media. Accordingly, it is essential to control the variables that influence drug diffusivity (for example pH, gel strength and accessibility of free water) inside the gel layer and boundaries that guarantee trustworthiness of the gel layer after the medication has been broken up and delivered from the gel layer. Medication solvency, subsequently, is a significant factor deciding the system of medication discharge from HPMC hydrophilic grids, impacting the decision of polymer thickness, science and decision of excipients. Utilization of a fitting consistency evaluation will empower a detailing researcher to plan networks based on dissemination, dispersion and disintegration or disintegration just components. For water-dissolvable drugs, high consistency evaluations of HPMC (Methocel K4M CR, K15M CR or K100M CR) will in general create predictable dissemination controlled frameworks (n drawing nearer ∼0.45). For drugs with helpless water dissolvability, low consistency evaluations of HPMC (Methocel K100LV CR and E50LV) are suggested where disintegration is the prevalent discharge system (n ∼ 0.9). Contingent upon drug dissolvability, it very well might be important to mix polymers of various viscosities to get a middle consistency evaluation of HPMC and accomplish wanted delivery energy. It ought to be noticed that as drug dissemination is subject to its atomic weight, science and other excipients inside the gel layer, drug discharge also is dependant on these properties [45].

### Polymer considerations

Polymer level and thickness grade are the significant medication discharge controlling variables in HPMC hydrophilic grids. Contingent upon measurements size and wanted delivery rate, the average utilize level can fluctuate from ∼20% to half (w/w) [19]. For drugs with high water solvency, there is a limit level of polymer for accomplishing broadened discharge, and further expansion in polymer level may not diminish the medication discharge rate. Notwithstanding, for getting a vigorous detailing with predictable execution and harshness toward minor varieties in crude materials or assembling measures, a use level of ≥ 30% (w/w) has been suggested [51, 52]. Molecule size of the polymer is another significant factor. The better the molecule size, the quicker the pace of hydration of the polymer and consequently better the control of drug discharge [53]. Coarser polymer particles utilized in an immediate pressure definition have been accounted for to bring about quicker medication discharge than better particles [54]. The coarser the molecule size, the more Slow the hydration rate and gel layer arrangement. The approach to dodge this issue is the utilization of fine molecule size evaluations of the polymer. For instance, Methocel K Premium CR grades have over 90% of particles under 149 μm or 100 cross section. The methoxyl to hydroxypropoxyl replacement proportion of HPMC polymer too impacts drug discharge which by and large follows Methocel E (hypromellose 2910) > K (hypromellose 2208) [30]. Networks figured with high thickness evaluations of HPMC structure gel layers with higher gel qualities [55], which results in more slow dissemination also, disintegration rates and consequently more slow medication discharge.

## Excipients

### Fillers

Dissolvable (for example lactose), insoluble (for example microcrystalline cellulose, dicalcium phosphate) as well as incompletely solvent (for example in part pregelinized starch) fillers are by and large utilized in hydrophilic lattices to improve pharmacotechnical properties of tablets (improve compressibility, stream and mechanical strength) or to alter the drug discharge profile. The incorporation of fillers influences the disintegration execution of a grid by a "weakening impact" on the polymer. The size of the impact on the presentation of lattices is dependant on the medication, the polymer level and the level of excipient itself. The presence of water-solvent fillers in high fixations in the grid prompts quicker and more noteworthy water take-up by the network, coming about in more vulnerable gel strength, higher disintegration of the gel layer and along these lines quicker medication discharge. Insoluble yet pitifully swellable fillers like microcrystalline cellulose stay inside the gel structure and by and large outcome in diminished delivery rate [11].

### Release modifiers and stabilizers

As talked about already, HPMC is a non-ionic polymer and

thus the polymer hydration and gel development of its grid is basically free of pH of a average disintegration media utilized. Be that as it may, when drugs with pH-subordinate watery solvency (powerless acids or bases) are planned in HPMC networks, they may display pH-subordinate medication discharge. Defining ER networks of such medications may lead to bring down drug discharge because of openness of the dose structure to expanding pH media of the GI plot (from pH 1.2 to 7) [57].

**Coating**

Use of film coatings to tablet plans is a typical practice in the drug industry. Tablets are covered for an assortment of reasons, for example, improving the security of the plan, taste covering, improving the stylish appearance, distinguishing proof what's more, marking, improving the bundling interaction or altering drug discharge profile. Covering of hydrophilic networks with water-solvent polymers like Opadry® or then again low-thickness HPMC for the most part doesn't modify drug discharge profiles.

**Conclusion**

1. Medication solvency and portion are the main elements to consider in the plan of HPMC ER networks. Utilization of a proper consistency evaluation will empower a plan researcher to plan grids dependent on dissemination, disintegration or dispersion and disintegration instruments. For water-dissolvable medications, high consistency evaluations of HPMC (Methocel K4M CR, K15M CR or K100M CR) will in general create steady dispersion controlled frameworks (n drawing nearer ~0.45). For drugs with helpless water dissolvability, low thickness evaluations of HPMC (Methocel K100LV CR and E50LV) are suggested where disintegration is the prevalent delivery system (n ~ 0.9). Contingent upon drug dissolvability, it could be important to mix polymers of various viscosities to acquire middle of the road consistency levels of HPMC and accomplish wanted delivery energy.
2. Polymer level is likewise the significant medication discharge rate controlling component in HPMC lattices. Contingent upon measurements structure, size and wanted delivery rate, the run of the mill utilize level can fluctuate from ~20 to half (w/w). For acquiring a vigorous detailing with predictable execution what's more, which is harsh toward minor varieties in crude materials or assembling measures, utilization level of ≥ 30% (w/w) is by and large suggested.
3. Molecule size of the HPMC is another significant factor. The better the molecule size, the quicker the pace of hydration of the polymer and subsequently better the control of burrowed discharge. In Trama center hydrophilic grids, it is by and large prescribed to utilize fine molecule size evaluations of the polymer (for example Methocel K Premium CR grades have over 90% of particles beneath 149 μm or no. 100 cross section).
4. Hydrophilic HPMC networks are made utilizing conventional assembling strategies
5. like direct pressure (DC), wet granulation or dry granulation (roller compaction or then again slugging). The decision of the strategy relies upon the plan properties or on themaker's inclination, or both.
6. The impact of pressure power on drug discharge from hydrophilic frameworks is insignificant at the point when tablets have sufficient hardness (to withstand taking care of) and ideal degrees of polymers are utilized. To guarantee reliable nature of the tablets, a pre-pressure step may must be considered in the production of hydrophilic networks.
7. In a wet granulation measure, consideration of a part of the HPMC as between granular and a partition as extra-granular might be gainful.
8. More modest tablets have been accounted for to require higher polymer substance in light of their higher surface territory to volume proportion and in this way more limited dispersion pathways.
9. Covering of hydrophilic frameworks with water-dissolvable polymers like Opadry or low-consistency HPMC by and large doesn't change drug discharge profiles. Covering with water-insoluble polymers, for example, ethylcellulose might be utilized for adjusting the medication discharge profile from HPMC lattices.
10. Further adjustment and tweaking of medication discharge from HPMC networks might be accomplished by the utilization of other non-ionic/ionic polymers, water-insoluble polymers, polysaccharides or hydrophobic excipients.
11. To assist drug researchers with a beginning recipe for hydrophilic network tablets prescient numerical models, for example, HyperStart® has been created. Utilization of this administration will work on the advancement interaction and decrease an opportunities.

**References**

1. Lordi NG. Sustained release dosage forms. In: Lachman, L., Lieberman, H.A. and Kanig, J.L. (eds.) The theory and practice of industrial pharmacy, 3rd edn (Indian edn). Varghese Publishing House, Bombay, 1987, 430-456.
2. Chiao CSL, Robinson JR. Sustained-release drug delivery systems. In:Gennaro, A.R. (ed.) Remington: the science and practice of pharmacy, vol. II. Mack Publishing Company, Easton, PA, 1995, 1660-1675.
3. Kydonieus AF. Fundamental concepts of controlled release. In: Kydonieus, A.F. (ed.) Controlled release technologies: methods, theory, and applications, vol. I. CRC, Boca Raton, Florida, 1890, 1.
4. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Drug Approval Reports. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index. cfm?fuseaction=Reports.ReportsMenu.
5. GlaxoSmithKline. Our Histroy [see 1952, online]. http://www.gsk.com/about/histroy-noflash.htm.
6. Sastry SV, Nyshadham JR, Fix JA. Recent technological advances in oral drug delivery-a review. Pharm Sci Technol Today,2000:3:138-145.
7. Rathbone MJ, Hadgraft J, Roberts MS. (eds.) Modified release drug delivery technology. Marcel Dekker, New York, 2003.
8. Buri P, Doelker E. [Formulation of sustained-release

tablets. II. Hydrophilic matrices]. Pharm Acta Helv,1980:55:189-197.
9. Conte U, Colombo P, Caramella C, La Manna A. Sustained release nitrofurantoin tablets by direct compression. Farmaco [Prat],1979:34:306-316.
10. Conte U, Colombo P, Gazzaniga A, Sangalli ME, La Manna A. Swellingactivated drug delivery systems. Biomaterials,1988:9:489-493.
11. Lee BJ, Ryu SG, Cui JH. Formulation and release characteristics of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose matrix tablet containing melatonin. Drug Dev Ind Pharm,1999:25:493-501.
12. Salomen JL, Doelker E. [Formulation of sustained release tablets. I. Inert matrices]. Pharm Acta Helv,1980:55:174-182.
13. Wilding IR, Davis SS, Melia CD, Hardy JG, Evans DF. Short AH *et al*. Gastrointestinal transit of Sinemet CR in healthy volunteers. Neurology,1989:39:53-58.
14. Wilding IR, Hardy JG, Davis SS, Melia CD, Evans DF, Short AH *et al*. Characterisation of the *in vivo* behaviour of a controlled-release formulation of levodopa (Sinemet CR). Clin Neuropharmacol,1991:14:305-321.
15. Zhu Y, Shah NH, Malick AW, Infeld MH, McGinity JW. Controlled release of a poorly water-soluble drug from hot-melt extrudates containing acrylic polymers. Drug Dev Ind Pharm,2006:32:569-583.
16. USP 29-NF 24. General Chapters: <1090> *In vivo* bioequivalence guidance-general guidelines. http://www.uspnf.com/uspnf/login.
17. Inactive ingredient search for approved drug products. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/ cder/iig/index.cfm.
18. Rowe RC, Sheskey PJ, Owen SC. Handbook of pharmaceutical excipients, 5th edn. The Pharmaceutical Press, London, UK, 2006.
19. Dow excipients, Methocel™ products. http:// www.dow.com/ dowexcipients/products/methocel.htm.
20. USP monographs: hypromellose. http://www.uspnf.com/ uspnf/login.
21. Rajabi Siahboomi AR, Bowtell RW, Mansfield P, Davies MC, Melia CD, 1996.
22. Structure and behavior in hydrophilic matrix sustained release dosage forms: 4. Studies of water mobility and diffusion coefficients in the gel layer of HPMC tablets using NMR imaging. Pharm Res, 13, 376-380.
23. McCrystal CB, Ford JL, Rajabi-Siahboomi AR. Water distribution studies within cellulose ethers using differential scanning calorimetry. 1. Effect of polymer molecular weight and drug addition. J Pharm Sci,1999:88:792-796.
24. Metolose®. http://www.metolose.jp/e/pharmaceutical/metolose.shtml. Aqualon pharmaceutical excipients. http://www.herc. com/aqualon/pharm/index.html.
25. Dabbagh MA, Ford JL, Rubinstein MH, Hogan JE, Rajabi-Siahboomi AR. Release of propranolol hydrochloride from matrix tablets containing sodium carboxymethyl cellulose and hydroxypropyl methylcellulose. Pharm Dev Technol,1999:4:313-324.
26. Carbopol brand polymers. http://www.carbopol.com/.
27. Dow excipients, POLYOX products. http://www.dow. com/dowexcipients/products/polyox.ht.
28. Timmins P, Delargy AM, Howard JR. Optimization and characterization of a pH independant extended release hydrophilic matrix tablet. Pharm Dev Technol,1997:2:25-31.
29. Kelly ML, Tobyn MJ, Staniforth JN. Tablet and capsule hydrophilic matrices based on heterodisperse polysaccarides having porosity-independant *in vitro* release profiles. Pharm Dev Technol,2000:5:59-66.
30. Rajabi Siahboomi AR, Jordan MP. Slow release HPMC matrix systems. Eur Pharm Rev,2000:5:21-23.
31. Siepmann J, Kranz H, Bodmeier R, Peppas NA. HPMC-matrices for controlled drug delivery: a new model combining diffusion, swelling, and dissolution mechanisms and predicting the release kinetics. Pharm Res,1999:16:1748-1756.
32. Siepmann J, Peppas NA. Hydrophilic matrices for controlled drug delivery: an improved mathematical model to predict the resulting drug release kinetics (the "sequential layer" model). Pharm Res,2000:17:1290-1298.
33. Siepmann J, Peppas NA. Modeling of drug release from delivery systems based on hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC). Adv Drug Deliv Rev,2001:48:139-157.
34. Siepmann J, Peppas NA. Mathematical modeling of controlled drug delivery. Adv Drug Deliv Rev,2001:48:137-138.
35. Siepmann J, Podual K, Sriwongjanya M, Peppas NA, Bodmeier R. A new model describing the swelling and drug release kinetics from hydroxypropyl methylcellulose tablets. J Pharm Sci,1999:88:65-72.
36. Siepmann J, Streubel A, Peppas NA. Understanding and predicting drug delivery from hydrophilic matrix tablets using the "sequential layer" model. Pharm Res,2002:19:306-314.
37. Korsmeyer RW, Gurny R, Doelker E, Buri P, Peppas NA. Mechanisms of potassium chloride release from compressed, hydrophilic, polymeric matrices: effect of entrapped air. J Pharm Sci,1983:72:1189-1191.
38. Ford JL, Mitchell K, Rowe P, Armstrong DJ, Elliott PNC, Rostron C *et al*. Mathematical modelling of drug release from hydroxypropy lmethylcellulose matrices: effect of temperature. Int J Pharm,1991:71:95-104.
39. Bajwa GS, Hoebler K, Sammon C, Timmins P, Melia CD. Microstructural imaging of early gel layer formation in HPMC matrices. J Pharm Sci,2006:95:2145-2157.
40. Timmins P, Dennis AB, Vyas KA. Biphasic controlled release delivery system for high solubility pharmaceuticals and method. US Pat. 6,475,521, 2002.
41. Timmins P, Dennis AB, Vyas KA. Method of use of a biphasic controlled release delivery system for high solubility pharmaceuticals and method. US Pat. 6,660,300, 2003.
42. Electronic orange book query. http://www.fda.gov/cder/ ob/docs/queryai.htm.
43. International patent applications. http://www.wipo.int/ pctdb/en/.
44. Lohray BB, Tiwari SB. A controlled release delivery system for metformin, 2005. WO/2005/123134.
45. Rao KV, Devi PK, Buri P. Influence of molecular size

and water solubility of the solute on its release from swelling and erosion controlled polymeric matrices. J Control Release,1990:12:133-141.
46. Influence of drug solubility on release profiles of drugs from Methocel K4M CR matrices [Internal report]. Colorcon Inc., West Point, PA.
47. Hogan JE. Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose sustained release technology. Drug Dev Ind Pharm,1989:15:975-999.
48. Velasco MV, Ford JL, Rowe P, Rajabi-Siahboomi AR. Influence of drug: hydroxypropylmethylcellulose ratio, drug and polymer particle size and compression force on the release of diclofenac sodium from HPMC tablets. J Control Release,1999:57:75-85.
49. Mitchell K, Ford JL, Armstrong DJ, Elliott PN, Hogan JE, Rostron C. The influence of drugs on the properties of gels and swelling characteristics of matrices containing methylcellulose or hydroxypropylmethylcellulose. Int J Pharm,1993:100:165-173.
50. Brand protection: tablet shape. http://www.colorcon.com/best/c06_tablet_shape.html.
51. Ford JL, Rubinstein MH, Changela A, Hogan JE. The influence of pH on the dissolution of promethazine hydrochloride from hydroxypropyl methylcellulose controlled release tablets. J Pharm Pharmacol,1985:37:115P.
52. Levina M, Gothoskar A, Rajabi-Siahboomi A. Application of a modelling system in the formulation of extended release hydrophilic matrices. Pharm Technol Eur,2006:18:20-26.
53. Alderman DA. A review of cellulose ethers in hydrophilic matrices for oral controlled release dosage forms. Int J Pharm Tech Prod Manuf,1984:5:1-9.
54. Shah N, Railkar AS, Watnanee P, Zeng F-U, Chen A, Infeld MH *et al*. Effects of processing techniques in controlling the release rate and mechanical strength of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose based hydrogel matrices. Eur J Pharm Biopharm,1996:42:183-187.
55. Sarkar N. Thermal gelation properties of methyl and hydroxypropyl methylcellulose. J Appl Polym Sci,1979:24:1073-1087.
56. Levina M, Rajabi-Siahboomi A. The influence of excipients on drug release from hydroxypropyl methylcellulose matrices. J Pharm Sci,2004:93:2746-2754.
57. Horter D, Dressman JD. Influence of physicochemical properties on dissolution of drugs in the gastrointestinal tract. Adv Drug Deliv Rev,1997:25:3-14.
58. Vashi VI, Meyer MC. Effect of pH on the *in vitro* dissolution and *in vivo* absorption of controlled release theophylline in dogs. J Pharm Sci,1988:77:760-774.
59. Kohri N, Miyata N, Takahashi M, Endo H, Iseki K, Miyazaki K *et al*. Evaluation of pH-independant sustained release granules of dipyridamole by using gastric-acidity controlled rabbits and human subjects. Int J Pharm,1992:81:49-58.
60. Tatavarti AS, Hoag SW. Microenvironmental pH modulation based release enhancement of a weakly basic drug from hydrophilic matrices. J Pharm Sci,2006:95:1459-1468.