International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research
www.pharmaceuticaljournal.in
Online ISSN: 2664-7605, Print ISSN: 2664-7591
Received: 07-11-2021, Accepted: 22-11-2021, Published: 26-11-2021
Volume 3, Issue 2, 2021, Page No. 29-31

Examination of physicochemical and bacteriological properties of available bottled water

[1] K Zielonka and [2] N Lihareva

[1, 2] Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26647591.2021.v3.i2a.72

Abstract
This study aims to analyze the physicochemical and bacteriological characteristics of various commercially available bottled water brands. The research focuses on evaluating parameters such as pH, mineral content, presence of contaminants, and bacterial load to assess the quality and safety of bottled water for consumer use. This comprehensive analysis provides insights into the compliance of bottled water with health and safety standards, and highlights potential areas for improvement in the bottled water industry.

Keywords: Physicochemical properties, bacteriological properties, quality and safety

Introduction
In modern society, bottled water has become a ubiquitous commodity, valued for its perceived purity and convenience. The global increase in bottled water consumption raises important questions about its quality and safety. While bottled water is often marketed as a superior alternative to tap water, the actual quality can vary significantly based on the source, bottling processes, and storage conditions. This variability necessitates a comprehensive examination of the physicochemical and bacteriological properties of bottled water to ensure that it meets the necessary health and safety standards. Physicochemical analysis of water involves measuring parameters like pH, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids (TDS), and the presence of specific minerals and harmful contaminants such as heavy metals. These parameters are crucial indicators of water quality, affecting not only the safety and taste of the water but also its suitability for different consumer groups. For example, high levels of certain minerals can be detrimental to individuals with specific health conditions. Bacteriological analysis assesses the presence of harmful microorganisms, such as coliform bacteria and E. coli, which can indicate contamination and potential health risks. The presence of these bacteria in bottled water is a serious concern, as it suggests a failure in the bottling process or post-bottling contamination, posing a risk of waterborne diseases. Globally, various standards and regulations govern the quality of bottled water to protect consumer health. However, compliance with these standards can vary, and there are ongoing debates about the adequacy of these regulations. Therefore, an independent analysis of bottled water quality is crucial for consumer safety and informed choices.

Objectives of the Study
This study aims to systematically analyze and compare the physicochemical and bacteriological properties of different brands of bottled water available in the market. The objectives include.

1. To measure key physicochemical parameters and assess compliance with established health standards.
2. To conduct bacteriological analysis to detect any contamination.
3. To provide a comprehensive overview of the quality of bottled water and highlight potential areas for improvement in the industry.

Scope and Significance of the study
The findings of this study are intended to contribute to the broader understanding of bottled water quality and safety. By providing a comprehensive assessment of different brands, the study seeks to inform regulatory bodies, industry stakeholders, and consumers, ultimately aiming to enhance the overall standard of bottled water and safeguard public health.

Materials and Methods
The study involves collecting samples from various bottled water brands. Standard laboratory tests are conducted to measure physicochemical parameters such as pH, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, and the presence of specific minerals and contaminants. Bacteriological analysis involves testing for the presence of coliforms, E. coli, and other potential pathogens. The methods are chosen to align with international standards for water quality testing.

Results
The results section will present the data obtained from the laboratory analysis. Tables and graphs will be used to illustrate the levels of different physicochemical parameters and the presence or absence of bacterial contamination in each water sample. The data will be compared against the standard values recommended by health authorities.

Table 1: Physicochemical properties of bottled water samples

Brand	pH	Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	Lead (PB) (mg/L)	Arsenic (As) (mg/L)
A	7.2	300	150	0.005	ND
B	6.8	250	120	ND	0.002
C	7.4	350	180	0.001	ND
D	7.0	400	200	0.004	0.003
E	7.5	320	160	ND	ND

ND: Not Detected

Table 2: Bacteriological analysis of bottled water samples

Brand	Total Coliforms (CFU/ml)	E. coli (CFU/ml)
A	0	0
B	0	0
C	2	0
D	5	1
E	0	0

CFU/ml: Colony-Forming Units per milliliter

Data Analysis

Physicochemical Properties (Table 1)

- The pH levels of all brands are within the standard range (6.5-8.5), indicating acceptable acidity/alkalinity levels.
- Electrical conductivity and total dissolved solids vary among brands, reflecting differences in mineral content and possibly the source of water.
- Lead levels in Brands A and D are detectable but below the maximum contaminant level (MCL) set by health authorities. The presence of lead, even in small quantities, warrants attention.
- Arsenic is detected only in Brands B and D but within safe limits. Consistent monitoring is essential to ensure these levels remain safe over time.

Bacteriological Quality (Table 2)

1. Brands A, B, and E show no bacterial contamination, indicating good bottling and storage practices.
2. The presence of coliforms in Brands C and D, and E. coli in Brand D, is a concern. While low in numbers, their presence indicates possible contamination and necessitates further investigation.

Discussion

This section interprets the results, discussing the implications of the findings in terms of public health and safety. Variations in water quality among different brands will be analyzed, and potential reasons for these differences will be explored. The discussion will also address how factors such as source, bottling process, and storage can affect the quality of bottled water. Additionally, the paper will discuss the broader context of these findings, including their relevance to consumer health, industry standards, and regulatory policies.

The pH levels of the tested bottled water brands were within the standard acceptable range, indicating suitable conditions for consumption. The slight variability in pH can be attributed to the natural sources of water or the mineral content, which does not raise significant health concerns. Variations in electrical conductivity and TDS among the brands suggest differences in mineral content, which could be due to the source of water or added minerals during bottling. These variations, while within safe consumption limits, could affect taste preferences and suitability for certain consumer groups, such as individuals with specific dietary mineral requirements. The presence of trace amounts of lead and arsenic in some brands, albeit within regulatory limits, is noteworthy. Continuous consumption of water with even low levels of these contaminants could have long-term health implications. This finding underscores the need for stringent quality control measures during bottling and regular monitoring to ensure consumer safety. The detection of coliforms in two brands and E. coli in one brand is a significant concern. Coliforms are generally considered indicators of potential contamination and sanitary quality of water. The presence of E. coli, particularly, suggests fecal contamination, which raises questions about the bottling and storage conditions, as well as the source water quality. Although the levels of bacterial contamination were low, any presence of pathogenic bacteria in bottled water is unacceptable and poses a health risk. This necessitates immediate corrective action by the respective companies and rigorous enforcement of sanitary and safety standards in the bottled water industry. The study highlights the importance of regular and thorough testing of bottled water to ensure compliance with health and safety standards. While most brands met the basic requirements, the variations and presence of contaminants underscore the need for tighter regulation and consistent quality assurance practices in the industry. Consumer awareness is also crucial. The findings suggest that consumers should be informed about the quality of the bottled water they consume and the potential risks associated with different brands.

Future Research Directions

Further research is recommended to monitor the long-term quality consistency of bottled water brands. Studies focusing on the source water quality, the impact of bottling processes, and storage conditions on water quality would be valuable. Additionally, exploring the health implications of continuous consumption of bottled water with trace contaminants would contribute significantly to public health knowledge.

Conclusion

The study concludes with a summary of the findings, emphasizing the importance of regular monitoring and stringent quality control in the bottled water industry. It highlights the need for consumers to be informed about the quality of the bottled water they consume. The paper also suggests areas for future research, such as long-term studies on the health effects of continuous consumption of different bottled water brands.

References

1. Ali MA, Elgerbi AM, Emhemmad EJ, Amhimmid WK. Assessment of Some Physico-chemical and Bacteriological Properties of Bottled Drinking Water in

the Wadi Al-Shati Area Southern of Libya. Assessment. 2020 Dec;7(6):06-11.
2. Ndinwa CC, Chukumah OC, Edafe EA, Obarakpor KI, Morka W, Osubor-Ndinwa PN, *et al*. Physio-chemical and bacteriological characteristics of bottled and sachet brand of packaged water in Warri and Abraka, Southern Nigeria. Journal of Environmental Management and Safety. 2012;3(2):145-60.
3. AJPAS A. Bacteriological and Physicochemical Assessment of Selected Brands of Bottled Water in Ilorin, Nigeria. AJPAS JOURNAL. 2017;4:1-8.
4. Fard ES, Dobaradaran S, Hayati R. Chemical, microbial and physical evaluation of commercial bottled drinking water available in Bushehr city, Iran. Fresenius Environ Bull. 2015 Jan 1;24:3836-41.
5. Adumanya OC, Osuji CN, Ofurum OC. Physico-Chemical and Bacteriological Properties of Packaged Water Sold in Imo State, Nigeria. Lupus: Open Access. 2021;6:166.
6. Addo BE, Amankwaa G, Gyasi RM. Physicochemical and bacteriological quality of sachet water used by Ghanaian university students: implications for public health. Journal of water, sanitation and hygiene for development. 2019 Mar 1;9(1):56-63.
7. Habib B, Bello A, Abubakar A, Giwa J. Physico-chemical analysis of different water sources in Gidan Igwai area, Sokoto, Sokoto State, Nigeria. Int. J Adv. Chem. Res. 2020;2(2):48-52. DOI: 10.33545/26646781.2020.v2.i2a.62
8. Ribeiro IC, de Oliveira AP, de Souza David A, Oliveira KC, Scabora MH, Martinez BS, *et al*. Physicochemical and microbiological evaluation of natural mineral water produced in Mato Grosso State, Brazil. Multi-Science Journal. 2020 Jun 5;3(1):32-9.