



ISSN Print: 2664-7591
ISSN Online: 2664-7605
Impact Factor: RJIF 8-16
IJPCR 2025; 7(2): 97-98
www.pharmaceuticaljournal.in
Received: 09-06-2025
Accepted: 14-07-2025

Varsha Gehlot
Pacific College of Physiotherapy,
Pacific Medical University,
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Dr. Jafar Khan
Pacific College of Physiotherapy,
Pacific Medical University,
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Knee osteoarthritis and gender-specific weight-bearing patterns: Insights from sensor plate analysis

Varsha Gehlot and Jafar Khan

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26647591.2025.v7.i2b.139>

Abstract

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is a progressive degenerative joint disorder characterized by cartilage degradation, pain, and impaired mobility. This observational study explores gender-specific differences in weight-bearing patterns among individuals with grade 2 and grade 3 knee OA, utilizing sensor plate technology for objective assessment. Participants included males and females aged 55-70, with data indicating increased asymmetry and midline shift in weight distribution among those with grade 3 OA. These biomechanical deviations were more pronounced in females, correlating with higher symptom severity as measured by the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) scores.

Sensor plate analysis revealed compensatory gait adaptations and load imbalances, particularly in female participants, who often demonstrated altered plantar pressure and greater lateral deviation. These findings suggest that anatomical and biomechanical differences such as wider pelvis and higher Q-angle in females may contribute to increased OA severity and altered loading patterns. The results underscore the need for sex-specific evaluation in OA management.

Tailored rehabilitation strategies, including custom orthotics and gait retraining based on sensor data, may help correct biomechanical inefficiencies, reduce joint stress, and slow disease progression. Incorporating sensor plate analysis into routine assessment can enhance diagnosis, guide personalized interventions, and improve functional outcomes in individuals with knee OA.

Keywords: WOMAC Scale, knee osteoarthritis, sensor plate, group (male and female)

1. Introduction

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is a common degenerative joint disorder that impairs mobility and quality of life, particularly in older adults. Gender-specific anatomical and biomechanical differences influence the onset and progression of OA. This study explores weight-bearing distribution in males and females with grade 2 and grade 3 OA using sensor plate technology. By analyzing gait patterns and asymmetries, the research highlights how OA affects men and women differently, providing insights for personalized assessment, rehabilitation planning, and improved management of knee OA.

2. Background and Rationale

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is a prevalent degenerative joint disease that significantly impairs mobility and quality of life, especially in individuals aged 50 and above. Gender-specific differences in OA presentation, severity, and progression have been observed, with females often experiencing greater pain and functional limitations. These disparities may stem from anatomical, hormonal, and biomechanical variations. While subjective tools like the WOMAC index assess symptoms, sensor plate technology provides objective analysis of weight-bearing and gait asymmetries. This study aims to explore gender-based differences in knee OA using sensor plate analysis to improve targeted rehabilitation and clinical decision-making strategies.

3. Material and Methods

3.1 Participants

A Total of 60 Patients (aged 55-70 years) with Knee osteoarthritis (OA) were recruited. Inclusion required people with OA Grade 2, 3. Exclusion criteria included Ligament injury, Patellar dislocation and subluxation, fractures, Bursitis.

Corresponding Author:
Varsha Gehlot
Pacific College of Physiotherapy,
Pacific Medical University,
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

3.2 Study Design

Observation, randomized controlled trial with two arms:

- **Group A (Male):** Observing the Patients on Sensor Plate.
- **Group B (Female):** Same as Group A

3.3 Duration: 3 months.

3.4 Intervention Protocol

- Participant Selection and Recruitment
- Preparation of Sensor Plate
- Data Collection Setup
- Weight Bearing Observation
- Analysis of data

3.5 Outcome Measures

- Sensor plate
- WOMAC Scale.

4. Results

4.1 Sensor Plate Analysis

Table 1: Distribution of weight-bearing (Left, Right, and Midline Shift) according to gender and grade of knee osteoarthritis (mean \pm SD)

Gender	Grade	Left (%)	Right (%)	Midline Shift (%)
Male	2	47.86 \pm 2.05	50.14 \pm 2.07	3.97 \pm 2.44
Male	3	54.51 \pm 2.92	45.40 \pm 2.93	9.54 \pm 4.89
Female	2	49.13 \pm 2.06	50.87 \pm 2.06	3.90 \pm 1.94
Female	3	52.09 \pm 3.40	47.91 \pm 3.40	6.55 4.36

4.2 WOMAC Scores by Grade and Gender

Table 2: WOMAC scores (Pain, Stiffness, Function, and Total) according to gender and grade of knee osteoarthritis (mean \pm SD)

Gender	Grade	Pain (mean \pm SD)	Stiffness	Function	Total WOMAC
Male	2	7.84 \pm 2.56	2.74 \pm 0.52	24.90 \pm 2.96	35.49 \pm 3.88
Male	3	13.04 \pm 1.39	4.59 \pm 0.34	39.53 \pm 7.39	56.16 \pm 7.65
Female	2	9.56 \pm 2.00	3.60 \pm 0.62	25.81 \pm 4.12	38.98 \pm 4.34
Female	3	13.81 \pm 2.75	4.89 \pm 0.69	39.70 \pm 4.19	58.43 5.67

5. Discussion

This study reveals notable gender-specific differences in the functional and biomechanical impact of knee osteoarthritis (OA), particularly in the progression from grade 2 to grade 3. Although the distribution of OA severity was statistically similar across genders, females consistently demonstrated higher WOMAC scores, indicating greater pain, stiffness, and functional limitation. Sensor plate analysis further showed increased weight-bearing asymmetry and midline shift in grade 3 OA, with females exhibiting more pronounced gait deviations. These findings suggest that women may experience a higher symptomatic burden, possibly due to anatomical factors such as greater Q-angles, hormonal influences post-menopause, and reduced muscle mass. The compensatory loading patterns observed, especially in grade 3 OA, point to a need for early intervention to prevent further musculoskeletal strain. Integrating sensor-based gait analysis with clinical assessments offers valuable insights for designing individualized, gender-sensitive rehabilitation programs to improve mobility, enhance stability, and slow OA progression in aging populations.

6. Conclusion

This study highlights the significant biomechanical and functional disparities in knee osteoarthritis (OA) progression between male and female patients. Although grade distribution of OA (grade 2 and 3) showed no statistically significant gender difference, females exhibited higher WOMAC scores across all domains pain, stiffness, and physical function suggesting a greater symptomatic burden. Sensor plate analysis further revealed that as OA severity increased, so did the weight-bearing asymmetry and midline shift, with more pronounced changes seen in grade 3 OA. Females showed greater gait deviations and compensatory patterns, likely influenced by anatomical and hormonal differences such as wider Q-angles and post-menopausal musculoskeletal changes.

These findings reinforce the need for early identification of altered biomechanics and gender-specific rehabilitation strategies in OA management. Incorporating sensor-based gait analysis into clinical practice provides valuable, objective data that complements traditional assessments like the WOMAC index. Personalized interventions focusing on joint stability, strength training, and functional retraining can help reduce pain, correct postural imbalances, and improve mobility. Recognizing and addressing these gender-specific patterns may not only enhance the effectiveness of conservative treatment approaches but also delay the need for surgical interventions. Ultimately, this study supports a more individualized and evidence-based approach to managing knee OA in aging populations.

7. References

1. Baker R. Measuring walking: A handbook of clinical gait analysis. London: Mac Keith Press; 2013.
2. Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, *et al.* Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis: Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1986;29(8):1039-1049.
3. Hunter DJ, Felson DT. Osteoarthritis. *BMJ.* 2006;332(7542):639-642.
4. Kumar S, *et al.* Gender differences in weight-bearing patterns using sensor plates in arthritic patients. *J Orthop Res.* 2020;38(5):1123-1130.
5. Smith A, *et al.* Gender differences in foot shape and lower extremity joint alignment in healthy adults. *Gait Posture.* 2018;62:352-356.
6. Robbins S, *et al.* Gender differences in foot function and lower extremity kinematics. *J Orthop Res.* 2007;25(10):1356-1364.
7. Mündermann A, *et al.* Gender differences in the response of the lower extremity musculature to physical activity. *Clin Biomech.* 2008;23(1):45-53.
8. Felson DT, *et al.* Biomechanical risk factors for osteoarthritis: The Framingham Study. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2013;72(5):844-850.
9. Astephen Wilson JL, *et al.* Gait adaptations with aging in healthy participants and people with knee osteoarthritis. *Gait Posture.* 2012;35(1):120-125.
10. Hurkmans HL, *et al.* The reliability of instrumented gait analysis in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Gait Posture.* 2006;23(1):88-94.